JUN 2 8 2010 E

1600

Example 1 on No: 09/677,493

Appeal No: <u>2009-1164</u> Filing Date: 10/02/2000

Application Title: "Integrated Database Data Editing System"

Applicant: George Guang Yang (Previous used name: Guang Yang)

Examiner: Baoquoc N. To, Art Unit 2162

392 Hans Way

San Jose, CA 95133

Tel: (408) 729-1282

E-mail: guangyang14@hotmail.com

June 24, 2010

Mr. Baoquoc N. To Commissioner for Patents P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Amendment of Specification and Reply to "Notice of Allowability"

Dear Mr. To,

Attached are the clean version and marked version of the amended specification of my patent application and an electric floppy disk with the clean version files. I have made some minor amendments to the specification including claims and figures by adding or deleting some detail descriptions of my invention, but I have not introduced any new matter. I insist that all Claims 1-7 are patentable under 35 U.S.C. § 101 and cannot be rejected under U.S.C. § 103(a). Claims 1-7 should be all allowed by your office. I believe that the Claims 1-7 structure and dependent relationship is the best way to show the value of this invention. I require your office to approve my amended specification as soon as possible. Once I get your approval, I will pay the patent issue fee of \$755.

I sent you a letter on May 21, 2010 with the amended claims to reply your "Notice of Allowability" mailed to me on May 17, 2010. I am glad to learn that all my claims are allowable. I sent the "Statement of the Substance of the Interview" to you on May 17, 2010 to response to your "Interview Summary" mailed to me on May 13, 2010. I sent my "Reply to 'Office Communication' and Request to Allow Claims 1-7" to your

office on April 27, 2010 to reply your "Office Communication" mailed to me on April 15, 2010. I mailed the "Request for Reopen Prosecution before Examiner on the Decision of the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences" under 37 CFR § 41.77(b)(1) to your office on February 7, 2010 and on September 27, 2009, which state enough factual merits and legal bases to oppose the Board's decision to sustain your rejection of Claims 1 & 3.

My patent application is very significant in both technology and economy fields. It has consumed ten years of my precious productive life now. I require your office to approve my amended specification and claims 1-7 as soon as possible. Once my patent is granted, I will apply the venture capital funds to implement it as commercial software products to contribute to our society.

Sincerely,

George Guang Yang, Ph.D., Pro Per

George S. Jang

Independent Inventor